United States of America

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of North Carolina

	United States of America)
	v.) Case No. 5:16-MJ-1761-RN
	ELTON WAYNE WALSTON) Case No. 5.10-W5-1761-KN
	Defendant)
	DETENTION O	RDER PENDING TRIAL
	After conducting a detention hearing under the hat the defendant be detained pending trial.	Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts
	Part I—	-Findings of Fact
□ (1) T	The defendant is charged with an offense describ	ped in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted
(of \square a federal offense \square a state or local of	offense that would have been a federal offense if federal
	jurisdiction had existed - that is	
	☐ a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S. for which the prison term is 10 years or r	C. § 3156(a)(4)or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5) more.
	☐ an offense for which the maximum sente	ence is death or life imprisonment.
	☐ an offense for which a maximum prison	term of ten years or more is prescribed in
		.*
	*	ad been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses C), or comparable state or local offenses:
	☐ any felony that is not a crime of violence	e but involves:
	☐ a minor victim	
	☐ the possession or use of a firearm or	destructive device or any other dangerous weapon
	☐ a failure to register under 18 U.S.C.	§ 2250
□ (2)	The offense described in finding (1) was comfederal, state release or local offense.	mitted while the defendant was on release pending trial for a
□ (3)	A period of less than five years has elapsed si	ince the \(\Boxed \) date of conviction \(\Boxed \) the defendant's release
	from prison for the offense described in findi	ng (1).
□ (4)		able presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety er find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.
	Alterna	tive Findings (A)
□ (1)	There is probable cause to believe that the de	efendant has committed an offense
	☐ for which a maximum prison term of ten	years or more is prescribed in .
	□ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	·
	• ,	

United States District Court

for the

Eastern District of North Carolina

□ (2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and the safety of the community.		
	Alternative Findings (B)		
□ (1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.		
Y (2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.		
	Part II— Statement of the Reasons for Detention I find that the testimony and information submitted at the detention hearing establishes by ✓ clear and		
convi	ncing evidence \(\square\) a preponderance of the evidence that Based on the defendant's waiver of his/her right to a detention hearing, there is no condition or combination of conditions, that car be imposed which would reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and/or the safety of another person or the community.		
For the reasons indicated below there is no condition, or combination of conditions, that can be imposed which would reasonab assure the defendant's appearance and/or safety of another person or the community.			
	The nature of the charges The lack of stable employment		
	The apparent strength of the government's case The lack of a suitable custodian		
	The indication of substance abuse The fact that the charges arose while on state probation		
	The defendant's criminal history The history of probation revocations		
	Other:		
Dort III Directions Degarding Detention			

Part III—Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date: September 8, 2016

Kobert T Numbers II.

Judge's signature

Robert T. Numbers, II United States Magistrate Judge

Printed name and title